



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/765,860	01/18/2001	Jeff J. Farago	47181-00232	1166
7590 09/15/2004			EXAMINER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW DEPARTMENT			LUU, SY D	
Square D. Com	pany			
1415 South Roselle Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Palatine II. 60067-7399			2174	

DATE MAILED: 09/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## FARAGO ET AL. 09/765,860 Interview Summary Art Unit Examiner 2174 Sy D Luu All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3)\_\_\_\_. (1) Sy D Luu (Examiner). (4)\_\_\_\_. (2) Sorinel Cimpoes (Attorney). Date of Interview: 09 September 2004. Type: a) ✓ Telephonic b) ✓ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)⊠ No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1,5 and 7. Identification of prior art discussed: Lignoul (US 6,374,145 B1) and Givens et al. (US 6,560,711 B1). Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. SY D. LUU PRIMARY EXAMINER Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Examiner's signature, if required Attachment to a signed Office action.

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the following main issues: detection of a person's presence within a "user-adjustable" distance of the metering display, and the use of a "freshel lens" in the motion sensor. The Examiner pointed out that Given et al. teach the capability for an user to adjust the sensitivity level of an IR sensor's output at col. 7, lines 49-50. However, the Examiner agrees that while the applied art discloses the use of a lens as a focusing means for the motion sensor, none of the applied art explicitly indicates the lens to be a Freshel lens. Accordingly, the previous finality will be withdrawn, and a new office action will follow in response to the Amendment A, filed 1/26/04.